

Number	Work ID	Job / Acc Number	Type	Sub Type	Status
RFI-008-4865-00001	008	4865	RFI	Request Design Clarification	Closed
RFI-008-4865-00002	008	4865	RFI	Request Information	Send

	Sent By	Sender Company	Date Sent	Date Open	Date Due	Date Approved	D
	Matthew Keast	BMD URBAN PTY LTD	10/09/2021	10/09/2021	24/09/2021	13/09/2021	
	Steven Bennett	BMD URBAN PTY LTD	27/09/2021	27/09/2021	1/10/2021		

Date Closed	First Response Date	Last Response Date	Initial Response Time (Days)	Number of Days allowed for Close Out	Number of Days Past Due Date	Total Time to Close
13/09/2021	13/09/2021	13/09/2021	0	14	-11	3
			0	4	194	

	Lot Number	Discipline	Area	Subject
3		Design		Fill compaction discrepancy
		Design		IFC Documentation

	Message	Document Name
	Hi Sam/Nicola, We are in the process of finalizing our ITPs but have noticed a discrepancy in the drawings and specifications for the fill compaction requirements. The order of precedence does indicate the drawings should be followed but just seeking confirmation on this. The project drawings indicate minimum 95% standard on all EW compaction whereas in Section C - Earthworks Spec - Clause C10 it states a standard dry density of 100% within 300mm of subgrade and 98% elsewhere. Could you please confirm that BMD are to use the 95% standard for all EW fill as per the project drawings? Regards, Matt	
	Hi Sam, Can you please provide the below: • Pavement Update for Road 11 - Critical for boxing details • QUU Approved Plans/PNT - Need to apply for NAP • Distribution Conduits • Landscaping Plans • Traffic Signals Trackstar Drive • APA I also note the following files from tender are considered IFC unless updates will be provided: • Opticomm Any queries please contact me to discuss further.	

	Attachment Name	Recipient	Reply By	Reply By Company	Re
		Samuel Duncan, Nicola Sainsbury	Matthew Keast	BMD URBAN PTY LTD	
		Samuel Duncan, Aaron Gilboy, Nicola Sainsbury			

ply At	Comment
13/09/2021	<p>Response attached.&nbsp;</p><p>RFI can now be closed.</p>

RFI-008-4865-00003	008	4865	RFI	Request Design Clarification	Responded
--------------------	-----	------	-----	------------------------------	-----------

I	Steven Bennett	BMD URBAN PTY LTD	5/10/2021	6/10/2021	8/10/2021	
---	-------------------	----------------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	--

Hi Sam,

Can you please confirm if services crossing future roads are to be installed at road crossing depths? Currently they are scheduled to be installed at nominal verge depths.

Please confirm any over/unders with watermain if required with the depth change.

Kind Regards,

	Samuel Duncan, Aaron Gilboy, Nicola Sainsbury	Steven Bennett	BMD URBAN PTY LTD	
--	--	----------------	----------------------	--

20/10/2021

From: Nicola Sainsbury
<Nicola.Sainsbury@cardno.com.au>
Sent: Tuesday, 19 October 2021 2:32 PM
To: Matthew Keast
<Matthew.Keast@bmd.com.au>
Cc: Steven Bennett
<Steven.Bennett@bmd.com.au>; Aaron Gilboy
<aaron.gilboy@cardno.com.au>; Samuel Duncan
<Samuel.Duncan@cardno.com.au>
Subject: RE: RFI-008-4865-00003 - Future Side Roads

Hi Matt,

Apologies for the wait, please see below a table of minimum road crossing cover requirements for different services. It is noted that cover should be measured from the lip of kerb.

----------	----------

Service	Cover
-----------------------	---------------------

Go Back	
Water	
Sewer	
As per design	
Opticomm	
1.2m	

valign="top"
style="width:127.2pt;border:solid
windowtext 1.0pt; border-
top:none;padding:0cm 5.4pt 0cm
5.4pt"> <p
class="MsoNormal"><span
style="font-size:10.0pt;font-
family:"Arial";,sans-serif;
color:

RFI-008-4865-00004	008	4865	RFI	Request Information	Closed
--------------------	-----	------	-----	---------------------	--------

Hi Samuel / Nicola,

The current drawings provided indicate that there is an existing field inlet (1/EX2) located at the end of the drainage channel that extends from Road 11 towards the East. Upon taking a site walk, we have identified that there is no existing field inlet for this storm water to leave the area.

Could you please provide direction for whether we are requested to also construct this field inlet? If this is the case, could you please also provide a design for this.

Any questions, please let me know.

Regards,
Matt

	Samuel Duncan, Nicola Sainsbury	Steven Bennett	BMD URBAN PTY LTD	
--	------------------------------------	----------------	----------------------	--

7/10/2021

<p class="MsoNormal">Hi Sam,<o:p></o:p></p> <p class="MsoNormal"><o:p> </o:p></p> <p class="MsoNormal">Can you please provide drawings depicting the interaction of the 900x900 opening in the proposed 1800mh?<o:p></o:p></p> <p class="MsoNormal"><o:p> </o:p></p> <p class="MsoNormal">This could work a few different ways:<o:p></o:p></p> <ul style="margin-top:0cm" type="disc"> <li class="MsoListParagraph" style="margin-left:0cm;mso-list:l0 level1 lfo1">1800 Aspro with 900x900 opening (non standard unit) – May have issues with access and council on maintenance<o:p></o:p> <li class="MsoListParagraph" style="margin-left:0cm;mso-list:l0 level1 lfo1">Leave the 1800mh low with a standard converter slab and place a 900x900x900 FIP ontop of the buried aspro with a hole cut into the base affix the two with a concrete bandage and mechanical anchors – May have issues with access and council on maintenance<o:p></o:p> <p class="MsoNormal">Also can you please confirm:<o:p></o:p></p> <ul style="margin-top:0cm" type="disc"> <li class="MsoListParagraph" style="margin-left:0cm;mso-list:l0 level1 lfo1">Is this a domed or flush 900x900?<o:p></o:p> <li class="MsoListParagraph" style="margin-left:0cm;mso-list:l0 level1 lfo1">Is there an apron or scour detail?<o:p></o:p> <p class="MsoNormal"><o:p> </o:p></p> <p class="MsoNormal">A new project drawing would be ideal to show the desired outcome as this doesn't fall within current ICC standard drawings.<o:p></o:p></p> <p class="MsoNormal"><o:p> </o:p></p> <p class="MsoNormal">Kind Regards,<o:p></o:p></p> <table class="MsoNormalTable" border="0" cellspacing="3" cellpadding="0"> <tbody><tr> <td style="border:none;border-right:solid #00205B 1.5pt;padding:7.5pt 7.5pt 7.5pt 7.5pt"> <p class="MsoNormal" style="margin-bottom:12.0pt"><!-- [if gte vml 1]><v:shapetype id="_x0000_t75" coordsize="21600,21600" o:spt="75" o:preferrelative="t"


```
path="m@4@5l@4@11@9@11@9
@5xe" filled="f" stroked="f">
<v:stroke joinstyle="miter"/>
<v:formulas> <v:f eqn="if lineDrawn
pixelLineWidth 0"/> <v:f eqn="sum
@0 1 0"/> <v:f eqn="sum 0 0 @1"/>
<v:f eqn="prod @2 1 2"/> <v:f
eqn="prod @3 21600 pixelWidth"/>
<v:f eqn="prod @3 21600
pixelHeight"/> <v:f eqn="sum @0 0
1"/> <v:f eqn="prod @6 1 2"/> <v:f
eqn="prod @7 21600 pixelWidth"/>
<v:f eqn="sum @8 21600 0"/> <v:f
eqn="prod @7 21600 pixelHeight"/>
<v:f eqn="sum @10 21600 0"/>
</v:formulas> <v:path
o:extrusionok="f"
gradientshapeok="t"
o:connecttype="rect"/> <o:lock
v:ext="edit" aspectratio="t"/>
</v:shapetype><v:shape
id="_x0000_i1025"
type="#_x0000_t75" alt=""
style='width:97.5pt; height:39.5pt'>
<v:imagedata
src="file:///C:/Users/stephen1/AppData
/Local/Temp/msohtmlclip1/01/clip_im
age001.png"
o:href="cid:image001.png@01D7BB
51.C81C4540"/> </v:shape><!--
[endif]--><!--[if !vml]--><!--
[endif]--></a><o:p></o:p></p> <p
class="MsoNormal" align="center"
style="mso-margin-top-alt:auto;mso-
margin-bottom-alt: auto;text-
align:center"><a
href="https://www.facebook.com/THE
BMDGROUP/"><!--[if gte vml
1]><v:shape id="_x0000_i1026"
type="#_x0000_t75" alt=""
style='width:27.5pt;height:27.5pt'>
<v:imagedata
src="file:///C:/Users/stephen1/AppData
/Local/Temp/msohtmlclip1/01/clip_im
age003.jpg"
o:href="cid:image002.jpg@01D7BB5
1.C81C4540"/> </v:shape><!--[endif]--
><!--[if !vml]--><!--
[endif]--></a><a
href="https://www.linkedin.com/comp
any/bmd-group-pty-ltd/"><!--[if gte
vml 1]><v:shape id="_x0000_i1027"
type="#_x0000_t75" alt=""
```



```
style='width:27.5pt;height:27.5pt'>
<v:imagedata
src="file:///C:/Users/steben1/AppData
/Local/Temp/msohtmlclip1/01/clip_im
age004.jpg"
o:href="cid:image003.jpg@01D7BB5
1.C81C4540"/> </v:shape><![endif]--
><!--[if !vml]--><b><span lang="EN-US">From:</span></b><span lang="EN-US"> Samuel Duncan <Samuel.Duncan@cardno.com.au></span></b><br> <b>Sent:</b> Monday, 11 October 2021 7:00 AM<br> <b>To:</b> Matthew Keast <Matthew.Keast@bmd.com.au></span></b><br> <b>Cc:</b> Nicola Sainsbury <Nicola.Sainsbury@cardno.com.au></span></b>; James Kriukelis <James.Kriukelis@bmd.com.au></span></b>; Steven Bennett <Steven.Bennett@bmd.com.au></span></b>; Matt Williamson <Matt.Williamson@bmd.com.au></span></b>; Angus Faint <Angus.Faint@bmd.com.au></span></b><br> <b>Subject:</b> RE: RFI-008-4865-00005 - Watermain Ductile Fitting Diameter<o:p></o:p></span></p> <p class="MsoNormal"><o:p>&nbsp;</o:p></p> <p class="MsoNormal"><span style="font-size:10.0pt;font-family:"Arial";sans-serif;color:black">Hi Matthew,<o:p></o:p></span></p> <p class="MsoNormal"><span style="font-size:10.0pt;font-family:"Arial";sans-serif;color:black">&nbsp;</span></p> <p class="MsoNormal"><span style="font-size:10.0pt;font-family:"Arial";sans-serif;color:black">Confirming that the DICL fittings should be 200mm nominal diameter to match the 250mm dia PE.<o:p></o:p></span></p> <p class="MsoNormal"><span style="font-size:10.0pt;font-family:"Arial";sans-serif;color:black">&nbsp;</span></p> <p class="MsoNormal"><span style="font-size:10.0pt;font-family:"Arial";sans-serif;color:black">Regards.<o:p></o:p></span></p> <p class="MsoNormal"><span style="font-size:10.0pt;font-family:"Arial";sans-serif;color:black">&nbsp;</span></p> <span style="font-size:12.0pt;font-family:"Arial";sans-serif;mso-fareast-font-family:Calibri;mso-fareast-theme-font:minor-latin;color:#193661;mso-ansi-language:EN-AU;mso-fareast-language:EN-AU;mso-bidi-language:AR-SA">Samuel Duncan</span><span style="font-size:11.0pt;font-family:"Calibri";sans-















serif;mso-fareast-font-family:  
Calibri;mso-fareast-theme-font:minor-  
latin;color:black;mso-ansi-  
language:EN-AU; mso-fareast-  
language:EN-AU;mso-bidi-  
language:AR-SA">&nbsp;<br>  
</span><span style="font-  
size:9.0pt;font-  
family:&quot;Arial&quot;;sans-  
serif;mso-fareast-font-family:  
Calibri;mso-fareast-theme-font:minor-  
latin;color:#8B9398;mso-ansi-  
language: EN-AU;mso-fareast-  
language:EN-AU;mso-bidi-  
language:AR-SA">SENIOR CIVIL  
ENGINEER </span><span  
style="font-size:11.0pt;font-  
family:&quot;Calibri&quot;;sans-serif;  
mso-fareast-font-family:Calibri;mso-  
fareast-theme-font:minor-  
latin;color:black; mso-ansi-  
language:EN-AU;mso-fareast-  
language:EN-AU;mso-bidi-  
language:AR-SA"><br>  
</span><span style="font-  
size:9.0pt;font-  
family:&quot;Arial&quot;;sans-  
serif;mso-fareast-font-family:  
Calibri;mso-fareast-theme-font:minor-  
latin;color:#8B9398;mso-ansi-  
language: EN-AU;mso-fareast-  
language:EN-AU;mso-bidi-  
language:AR-  
SA">CARDNO</span><span  
style="font-size:11.0pt;font-  
family:&quot;Calibri&quot;;sans-  
serif;mso-fareast-font-family:  
Calibri;mso-fareast-theme-font:minor-  
latin;color:black;mso-ansi-  
language:EN-AU; mso-fareast-  
language:EN-AU;mso-bidi-  
language:AR-SA"><br> <!--[if !  
supportLineBreakNewLine]--><br>  
<!--[endif]--></span>



| Number             | Work ID | Job / Acc Number | Type | Sub Type                     | Status |
|--------------------|---------|------------------|------|------------------------------|--------|
| RFI-008-4865-00006 | 008     | 4865             | RFI  | Request Design Clarification | Closed |
| RFI-008-4865-00007 | 008     | 4865             | RFI  | Request Design Clarification | Closed |

|  | Sent By       | Sender Company    | Date Sent  | Date Open  | Date Due   | Date Approved | D |
|--|---------------|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|---|
|  | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD | 13/10/2021 | 15/10/2021 | 19/10/2021 | 15/10/2021    |   |
|  | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD | 20/10/2021 | 22/10/2021 | 27/10/2021 | 22/10/2021    |   |

| ate Closed | First Response Date | Last Response Date | Initial Response Time (Days) | Number of Days allowed for Close Out | Number of Days Past Due Date | Total Time to Close |
|------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| 15/10/2021 | 15/10/2021          | 15/10/2021         | 2                            | 6                                    | -4                           | (                   |
| 22/10/2021 | 22/10/2021          | 22/10/2021         | 2                            | 7                                    | -5                           | (                   |

| Lot Number | Discipline | Area | Subject                     |
|------------|------------|------|-----------------------------|
| 0          | Design     |      | Sewer and Watermain Queries |
| 0          | Design     |      | Sewer - Structure 7/1 Query |

|   | Message                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Document Name |
|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| 5 | <p>Hi Sam / Nicola,</p> <p>Please see below queries for the sewer and watermain at Aus Ave.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Sewer - Please confirm if 5/1 is a manhole. If this is a manhole, please provide an upstream invert level</li> <li>2. Sewer - Please confirm BMD shall provide an end cap for 1/3 and not construct a type x manhole as nominated on drawing 510240-001-CI-1502.</li> <li>3 Watermain - From what we can establish, there are sufficient hydrants provided, however just want to confirm that the design is correct with no hydrants indicated until CH620 from Eden Station Dr</li> </ol> <p>Regards,</p> <p>Matt</p> |               |
|   | <p>Hi Sam / Nicola,</p> <p>Drawing 510240-001-CI-1503 indicates for structure 7/1 that the type is a MS 900 J-1. When referred back to the Maintenance Hole Types on the left hand side of this drawing, it indicates MS = PE 600mm DIA (&lt;5m DEEP).</p> <p>Drawing 510240-001-CI-1500 note 14 indicates under the marked up changes, that MS's are to be constructed to SEQ-SEW-1315-1, 1316-1 and 1502-1. On these drawings it shows different dimensions for the pit and riser.</p> <p>Could you please confirm the dimensions required for the riser and pit of this structure?</p> <p>Cheers,</p> <p>Matt</p>                                             |               |

|  | Attachment Name | Recipient                       | Reply By      | Reply By Company  | Re |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|----|
|  |                 | Samuel Duncan, Nicola Sainsbury | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD |    |
|  |                 | Samuel Duncan, Nicola Sainsbury | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD |    |

| ply At     | Comment                                                                                                       |
|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 15/10/2021 | <p>RFI can be closed.</p> <p>Email attached with responses to queries.</p> <p></p> <p>Cheers,</p> <p>Matt</p> |
| 22/10/2021 | <p>Response attached. RFI can be closed.</p> <p></p> <p>Cheers,</p> <p>Matt</p>                               |



| Number             | Work ID | Job / Acc Number | Type | Sub Type                     | Status |
|--------------------|---------|------------------|------|------------------------------|--------|
| RFI-008-4865-00008 | 008     | 4865             | RFI  | Request Design Clarification | Closed |
| RFI-008-4865-00009 | 008     | 4865             | RFI  | Request Design Clarification | Closed |

|  | Sent By       | Sender Company    | Date Sent  | Date Open  | Date Due   | Date Approved | D |
|--|---------------|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|---|
|  | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD | 12/11/2021 | 12/11/2021 | 12/11/2021 | 12/11/2021    |   |
|  | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD | 25/11/2021 | 25/11/2021 | 9/12/2021  | 30/11/2021    |   |

| ate Closed | First Response Date | Last Response Date | Initial Response Time (Days) | Number of Days allowed for Close Out | Number of Days Past Due Date | Total Time to Close |
|------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| 12/11/2021 | 12/11/2021          | 12/11/2021         | 0                            | 0                                    | 0                            | 0                   |
| 30/11/2021 | 30/11/2021          | 30/11/2021         | 0                            | 14                                   | -9                           | 5                   |

|   | Lot Number | Discipline                 | Area | Subject                                          |
|---|------------|----------------------------|------|--------------------------------------------------|
| 0 |            | Construction               |      | Sir Llew Edwards Dr Fencing                      |
| 5 |            | Landscape and Urban Design |      | Clarification on centre median surface treatment |

|  | Message                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Document Name |
|--|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
|  | <p>Hi Sam / Nicola,</p> <p>Upon further review of our site and drawings, we have identified that the fencing that separates the project with Sir Llew Edwards Dr falls within our limit of works and our earthworks footprint (see below cross section). The vertical line shown below indicates the approx. location of the fencing. Yellow line is existing level and the hatched line is our FSL..</p> <p>Can you please advise if you wish for BMD to either;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Remove and dispose of the existing boundary fencing or;</li> <li>2. Adjust our limit of works to the fence line and leave fence as is or;</li> <li>3. Any alternative proposal by Cardno</li> </ol> <p>Regards, Matt</p> |               |
|  | <p>Hi Sam / Nicola,</p> <p>BMD are seeking clarification on the surface treatment proposed for the centre median.</p> <p>Within the ROAD11 drawings, a much larger proportion of the median is to be concrete capped whereas the landscaping drawings indicate that the same area shall consist of median planting, shrubs and grasses.</p> <p>Could you please clarify which drawings shall be utilised for the construction of this median?</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |               |

|  | Attachment Name                               | Recipient                       | Reply By      | Reply By Company  | Re |
|--|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|----|
|  | RE_ Aus Ave - Sir Llew Edwards Dr Fencing.msg | Samuel Duncan, Nicola Sainsbury | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD |    |
|  | 510240-103_Drawing Markup.pdf                 | Samuel Duncan, Nicola Sainsbury | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD |    |

| ply At     | Comment                                                                                                                                            |
|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 12/11/2021 | <p>Hi all,</p> <p>Please note this RFI has already been actioned and has been raised to capture within the system.</p> <p>Regards,</p> <p>Matt</p> |
| 30/11/2021 | <p>Response attached.</p>                                                                                                                          |



| Number             | Work ID | Job / Acc Number | Type | Sub Type                     | Status |
|--------------------|---------|------------------|------|------------------------------|--------|
| RFI-008-4865-00010 | 008     | 4865             | RFI  | Request Design Clarification | Send   |
| RFI-008-4865-00011 | 008     | 4865             | RFI  | Request Design Clarification | Closed |
| RFI-008-4865-00012 | 008     | 4865             | RFI  | Request Design Clarification | Closed |
| RFI-008-4865-00013 | 008     | 4865             | RFI  | Request Design Clarification | Closed |

|  | Sent By       | Sender Company    | Date Sent  | Date Open  | Date Due   | Date Approved | D |
|--|---------------|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|---|
|  | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD | 25/11/2021 | 25/11/2021 | 2/12/2021  |               |   |
|  | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD | 9/12/2021  | 9/12/2021  | 16/12/2021 | 17/02/2022    |   |
|  | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD | 18/01/2022 | 18/01/2022 | 18/01/2022 | 18/01/2022    |   |
|  | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD | 4/02/2022  | 4/02/2022  | 9/02/2022  | 16/02/2022    |   |

| ate Closed | First Response Date | Last Response Date | Initial Response Time (Days) | Number of Days allowed for Close Out | Number of Days Past Due Date | Total Time to Close |
|------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
|            |                     |                    | 0                            | 7                                    | 132                          |                     |
| 17/02/2022 | 17/02/2022          | 17/02/2022         | 0                            | 7                                    | 63                           | 70                  |
| 18/01/2022 |                     |                    | 0                            | 0                                    | 0                            | 0                   |
| 16/02/2022 | 16/02/2022          | 16/02/2022         | 0                            | 5                                    | 7                            | 12                  |
|            |                     |                    |                              |                                      |                              |                     |

| Lot Number | Discipline   | Area | Subject                                         |
|------------|--------------|------|-------------------------------------------------|
|            | Construction |      | Stormwater 7/2 to 8/2 Clash w/ existing fencing |
| 0          | Pavements    |      | Confirmation of Binder                          |
| 0          | Construction |      | Incorrect survey for Sewer MH                   |
| 2          | Construction |      | Kerb - Model and Drawing Discrepancy            |

|       | Message                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Document Name |
|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| ith   | <p>Hi Sam / Nicola,</p> <p>Upon further review of the drawings and existing features of site, a clash with the works has been identified.</p> <p>Line 7/2 to 8/2 clashes with the existing fencing that runs along Sir Llew Edwards Dr (refer to attached pdf). Due to the proximity of the fence with the RCP line, we are unable to install this line.</p> <p>Could Cardno please provide direction in how they wish to proceed?</p>                                                                                                                                            |               |
|       | <p>Hi Sam / Nicola,</p> <p>Under the provided IFC drawings for the pavement, a C120 binder is proposed for use as part of the pavement. Can you please confirm if this should be a C170 binder as C120 is not a Conventional Seal.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |               |
| EX1/1 | <p>Uploaded for reference. Refer to attached email chain.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |               |
|       | <p>Hi Sam / Nicola,</p> <p>A discrepancy within the supplied 12D design model and plans, base files (particularly around the centre median) has been identified. Could you please advise the following;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The cross sections provided for Road 11 have not stipulated % grade information or centre line offset dimensions. Can this please be provided?</li> <li>2. The kerb set-out plans are not aligning to the 12D model. Could you please confirm whether to follow the 12D model or the base files?</li> </ol> <p>Regards,</p> |               |

|  | Attachment Name                                         | Recipient                       | Reply By      | Reply By Company  | Re |
|--|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|----|
|  | Stormwater and Fence Clash.pdf                          | Samuel Duncan, Nicola Sainsbury |               |                   |    |
|  |                                                         | Samuel Duncan, Nicola Sainsbury | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD |    |
|  | RE_ BMD - Aust Ave Existing Sewer.msg                   | Steven Bennett                  |               |                   |    |
|  | Australia Ave Road 11 Design Plans mark up_PT220203.pdf | Samuel Duncan, Nicola Sainsbury | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD |    |

| ply At     | Comment                                                                                |
|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|            |                                                                                        |
| 17/02/2022 | Response attached. To be implemented                                                   |
|            |                                                                                        |
| 16/02/2022 | <p>&lt;p&gt;Response attached .&lt;/p&gt;&lt;p&gt;RFI can be closed out.&lt;/p&gt;</p> |



| Number             | Work ID | Job / Acc Number | Type | Sub Type                                                                  | Status |
|--------------------|---------|------------------|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| RFI-008-4865-00014 | 008     | 4865             | RFI  | Proposed Design Change, Request Design Clarification, Request Information | Closed |
| RFI-008-4865-00015 | 008     | 4865             | RFI  | Request Design Clarification                                              | Closed |
| RFI-008-4865-00016 | 008     | 4865             | RFI  | Proposed Design Change                                                    | Closed |
| RFI-008-4865-00017 | 008     | 4865             | RFI  | Proposed Design Change                                                    | Send   |

|  | Sent By       | Sender Company    | Date Sent  | Date Open  | Date Due   | Date Approved | D |
|--|---------------|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|---|
|  | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD | 16/02/2022 | 16/02/2022 | 25/02/2022 | 25/02/2022    |   |
|  | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD | 16/02/2022 | 16/02/2022 | 24/02/2022 | 17/02/2022    |   |
|  | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD | 16/02/2022 | 16/02/2022 | 16/02/2022 | 16/02/2022    |   |
|  | Bradley Canov | BMD URBAN PTY LTD | 16/02/2022 | 16/02/2022 | 23/02/2022 |               |   |

| Date Closed | First Response Date | Last Response Date | Initial Response Time (Days) | Number of Days allowed for Close Out | Number of Days Past Due Date | Total Time to Close |
|-------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| 25/02/2022  | 25/02/2022          | 25/02/2022         | 0                            | 9                                    | 0                            | 9                   |
| 17/02/2022  | 17/02/2022          | 17/02/2022         | 0                            | 8                                    | -7                           | 1                   |
| 16/02/2022  |                     |                    | 0                            | 0                                    | 0                            | 0                   |
|             |                     |                    | 0                            | 7                                    | 49                           |                     |

|   | Lot Number | Discipline                 | Area | Subject                        |
|---|------------|----------------------------|------|--------------------------------|
| 9 |            | PUP and Services           |      | Gas and Tree Alignment Clash   |
| L |            | Landscape and Urban Design |      | Batter Chutes Discrepancy      |
| 9 |            | PUP and Services           |      | Sewer Structure 8/1 Relocation |
|   |            | Landscape and Urban Design |      | Plant Schedule Changes         |

|   | Message                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Document Name |
|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| 1 | <p>Hi Sam/Nicola,</p> <p>We have identified a clash between the proposed gas alignment and the trees to run along the northbound verge.</p> <p>Could you please provide direction on how to proceed?</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |               |
|   | <p>Hi Sam / Nicola,</p> <p>We have identified a discrepancy on drawing 510240-001-CI-1005_Rev F in regards to the batter chutes on the southern batter.</p> <p>Could you please advise the following;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The drawing and model reflect different lengths of the batter chute that runs from the top of the batter to the toe of batter. BMD will follow the drawings unless advised otherwise for this</li> <li>2. Batter chutes are requested at the end of each cut off drain on the drawings. Please provide the length of these batter chutes as it has not been provided on any project documentation</li> </ol> |               |
| 1 | <p>Raised for internal purposes. RFI has been actioned.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |               |
|   | <p>Hi Sam / Nicola,</p> <p>Top Gun Project Services have advised us that the plants detailed in plant schedule on this project have some issues:</p> <p><b>Yucca Flaccida</b> - require grow from tissue culture - not grown/no stock in nurseries - maybe 6 months timeframe - recommend alternative <b>Grevillea</b></p> <p><b>Lanigera 'Mt Tamboritha'</b> - dies in SEQ conditions - recommend alternative.</p> <p>Could you please advise an alternative to these options.</p>                                                                                                                                                                              |               |

|  | Attachment Name                                                             | Recipient                       | Reply By      | Reply By Company  | Re |
|--|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|----|
|  | Service Alignment - NB Sketch.pdf, Landscape Drawings.zip, E32067716-04.pdf | Samuel Duncan, Nicola Sainsbury | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD |    |
|  | 510240-001-CI-1005_F_MARKUP.pdf                                             | Samuel Duncan, Nicola Sainsbury | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD |    |
|  | RE_ Aus Ave -Sewer Chamber 8_1 Shift.msg                                    | Steven Bennett                  |               |                   |    |
|  | 510240-102.pdf                                                              | Samuel Duncan, Nicola Sainsbury |               |                   |    |

| ply At     | Comment                                                            |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 25/02/2022 | RFI can be closed. Response and planned course of action attached. |
| 17/02/2022 | RFI Response to be implemented                                     |
|            |                                                                    |
|            |                                                                    |



| Number             | Work ID | Job / Acc Number | Type | Sub Type                     | Status |
|--------------------|---------|------------------|------|------------------------------|--------|
| RFI-008-4865-00018 | 008     | 4865             | RFI  | Request Information          | Send   |
| RFI-008-4865-00019 | 008     | 4865             | RFI  | Proposed Design Change       | Closed |
| RFI-008-4865-00020 | 008     | 4865             | RFI  | Request Design Clarification | Closed |
| RFI-008-4865-00021 | 008     | 4865             | RFI  | Request Design Clarification | Closed |

|  | Sent By       | Sender Company    | Date Sent  | Date Open  | Date Due   | Date Approved | D |
|--|---------------|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|---|
|  | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD | 21/02/2022 | 24/03/2022 | 25/02/2022 |               |   |
|  | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD | 21/02/2022 | 21/02/2022 | 21/02/2022 | 21/02/2022    |   |
|  | Bradley Canov | BMD URBAN PTY LTD | 22/02/2022 | 22/02/2022 | 1/03/2022  | 23/02/2022    |   |
|  | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD | 9/03/2022  | 9/03/2022  | 15/03/2022 | 11/03/2022    |   |

| ate Closed | First Response Date | Last Response Date | Initial Response Time (Days) | Number of Days allowed for Close Out | Number of Days Past Due Date | Total Time to Close |
|------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
|            |                     |                    | 31                           | 4                                    | 47                           |                     |
| 21/02/2022 |                     |                    | 0                            | 0                                    | 0                            | 0                   |
| 23/02/2022 | 23/02/2022          | 23/02/2022         | 0                            | 7                                    | -6                           | 1                   |
| 11/03/2022 | 11/03/2022          | 11/03/2022         | 0                            | 6                                    | -4                           | 2                   |

| Lot Number | Discipline                 | Area | Subject                       |
|------------|----------------------------|------|-------------------------------|
|            | PUP and Services           |      | Distribution Conduit Drawings |
| 3          | Construction               |      | Change to 1500MHs (6/2 and    |
| 1          | Landscape and Urban Design |      | Mulch Type Clarification      |
| 2          | Construction               |      | Watermain End Cap Clarificati |

|      | Message                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Document Name |
|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
|      | <p>Hi Nicola,</p> <p>Please see below request by our electrical contractor;</p> <p>"Can you check with the designers Electro that we have their latest amendment for the Distributor conduits for Australia Ave please? Revision B is on the attached portal but the amendment is dated 15/12/17, so I just want to make sure we are installing to the latest work plan."</p> <p>Regards,</p> <p>Matt</p> |               |
| 7/2) | RFI already closed out. Refer to attachment. Raised for record keeping purposes.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |               |
|      | <p>Hi Sam &amp; Nicola,</p> <p>We have found conflicting information between the mulch types on our submission schedule &amp; the current landscape drawings. The landscape drawings (see 510240-102-B) specifies forest mulch and our submission schedule specifies hoop pine (see submission schedule snip). Can you please clarify which mulch type we will need to use on our landscape works.</p>    |               |
| on   | <p>Hi Nicola,</p> <p>On drawing 510240-001-CI-1602_H, a 355 Dia End Cap (171.0kN) is nominated to be installed at a location that appears to have a future road (refer to attached highlighted section of the drawing).</p> <p>Could you please advise if this is an error on the drawings and can be omitted? If this is to be installed,</p> <p>Regards,</p>                                            |               |

|  | Attachment Name                                                                                      | Recipient                       | Reply By      | Reply By Company  | Re |
|--|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|----|
|  |                                                                                                      | Samuel Duncan, Nicola Sainsbury |               |                   |    |
|  | 510240-001-CI-1300_G.pdf, RE_ Aus Ave - Stormwater Manhole Posiitoning.msg, 510240-001-CI-1301_F.pdf | Matthew Keast                   |               |                   |    |
|  | 510240-102-B.pdf, Hoop Pine Mulch - Submission Schedule.JPG                                          | Samuel Duncan, Nicola Sainsbury | Bradley Canov | BMD URBAN PTY LTD |    |
|  | 510240-001-CI-1602_H_MARKUP.pdf                                                                      | Samuel Duncan, Nicola Sainsbury | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD |    |

| ply At     | Comment                                                       |
|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|            |                                                               |
|            |                                                               |
| 23/02/2022 | Forest Mulch is to be used as per attached email from Cardno. |
| 11/03/2022 | Response attached. RFI can be closed out.                     |



| Number             | Work ID | Job / Acc Number | Type | Sub Type                     | Status |
|--------------------|---------|------------------|------|------------------------------|--------|
| RFI-008-4865-00022 | 008     | 4865             | RFI  | Request Design Clarification | Send   |
| RFI-008-4865-00023 | 008     | 4865             | RFI  | Request Design Clarification | Closed |

|  | Sent By        | Sender Company    | Date Sent  | Date Open  | Date Due   | Date Approved | D |
|--|----------------|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|---|
|  | Steven Bennett | BMD URBAN PTY LTD | 14/03/2022 | 14/03/2022 | 18/03/2022 |               |   |
|  | Matthew Keast  | BMD URBAN PTY LTD | 17/03/2022 | 17/03/2022 | 18/03/2022 | 8/04/2022     |   |

| ate Closed | First Response Date | Last Response Date | Initial Response Time (Days) | Number of Days allowed for Close Out | Number of Days Past Due Date | Total Time to Close |
|------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
|            |                     |                    | 0                            | 4                                    | 26                           |                     |
| 8/04/2022  | 8/04/2022           | 8/04/2022          | 0                            | 1                                    | 21                           | 22                  |

| Lot Number | Discipline   | Area | Subject                              |
|------------|--------------|------|--------------------------------------|
|            | Design       |      | Trackstar Point of Supply, Cab kerbs |
| 2          | Construction |      | Stormwater Manhole 6/1               |

|        | Message                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Document Name |
|--------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| inets, | <p>Hi Sam,</p> <p>Please see below for queries regarding intersection works on Trackstar Drive.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Referring to image attached, controller is located on a batter. Looking at the FSL model and adjacent services there aren't many relocation opportunities. Please provide retaining wall/batter design/direction to provide flat level pad for controller. Retained height will vary based on required cabinet clearances.</li> <li>510240-CI-305 notes power supply to be confirmed for controller. Site visits have discussed potential connection to greenboy located on Trackstar Drive (image attached). Please provide design including any auxiliary controllers/transformers and Energex approval for connection.</li> <li>Note conduits on existing verges may be required to be run on non standard alignments to avoid clashes with existing stormwater, elec, etc. Can you please confirm surface treatments should these require reduced cover.</li> <li>Submission schedule notes type B3 kerb. Project drawings refer to SM4 kerb. Please confirm kerb type. Vehicle traffic path would indicate B3 as it is located on the inside of the corner.</li> </ul> <p>Any queries please contact me to discuss.</p> <p>Kind Regards,</p> |               |
|        | <p>Hi Nicola,</p> <p>On the design drawings 510240-001-CI-1101_D and 510240-001-CI-1300_G chamber 6/1 is proposed as a 1500mm dia Access Chamber without a gully.</p> <p>The positioning of this chamber indicates that it is below the kerb however a gully has not been indicated as being required for this manhole and therefore does not suit the proposed position.</p> <p>Can you please advise if BMD are to install a gully pit at this location on top of this chamber noting that the pipework leading to this structure position has been laid.</p> <p>Regards,</p> <p>Matt</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |               |

|  | Attachment Name                                                                  | Recipient                       | Reply By      | Reply By Company  | Re |
|--|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|----|
|  | Potential POE Trackstar Controller.PNG, Streetlight Controller Surface Check.PNG | Samuel Duncan, Nicola Sainsbury |               |                   |    |
|  |                                                                                  | Samuel Duncan, Nicola Sainsbury | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD |    |

| ply At    | Comment                                                                                                          |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|           |                                                                                                                  |
| 8/04/2022 | <p>&lt;p&gt;Change to design was required. Drawings attached.&lt;/p&gt;&lt;p&gt;RFI can be closed.&lt;/p&gt;</p> |



| Number             | Work ID | Job / Acc Number | Type | Sub Type | Status |
|--------------------|---------|------------------|------|----------|--------|
| RFI-008-4865-00024 | 008     | 4865             | RFI  | General  | Send   |

|  | Sent By        | Sender Company    | Date Sent  | Date Open  | Date Due   | Date Approved | D |
|--|----------------|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|---|
|  | Steven Bennett | BMD URBAN PTY LTD | 22/03/2022 | 22/03/2022 | 25/03/2022 |               |   |

| Case Closed | First Response Date | Last Response Date | Initial Response Time (Days) | Number of Days allowed for Close Out | Number of Days Past Due Date | Total Time to Close |
|-------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
|             |                     |                    | 0                            | 3                                    | 19                           |                     |

| Lot Number | Discipline   | Area | Subject                               |
|------------|--------------|------|---------------------------------------|
|            | Construction |      | Backfilling of Temporary Sedin Basins |

|      | Message                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Document Name |
|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| ment | <p>Hi Sam,</p> <p>Can you please confirm if temporary sediment basins are to be backfilled and compacted (Level 1) on completion of the project or at a time where they are no longer required for ESC.</p> <p>As per clarification 15. no allowances have been made as previous experience is to leave these as a long term controls, however due to final earthworks alignments and future site requirements these basins are best to be filled in and new basins installed for any future works when the time comes.</p> <p>BMD propose a variation with the use of contract items/rates:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• "1010 - Pavement and allotment compaction tests by NATA approved testing authority" scaled to suit amount of EW required</li> <li>• "1019 - Dewater of all existing waterbodies onsite prior to commencement of earthworks" for the final dewatering</li> <li>• "1017 - Identification and removal of bad ground (Solid Volume), including excavation and disposal off-site (Prov Qty)" where not suitable for use in Level 1 (clarification 16.)</li> <li>• "1018 - Identification, removal and replacement of bad ground (Solid Volume), including blending of excavated bad ground material with general fill for re-use in general or selected earthworks (Prov Qty)" where suitable to be worked into level 1 (clarification 16.)</li> <li>• "1015 - On leads to roadways and allotments as directed by Superintendent (Level 1 supervision required)" for cut to fill backfilling of basins</li> </ul> <p>Capacity of Basin 2 and 3 as per the approved ESCP is 2315m3.</p> <p>We are in a position to undertake these works within the next month if approved following stabilisation of drains and batters etc.</p> <p>Please confirm desired outcome for the basins.</p> <p>Kind Regards,</p> |               |

|  | Attachment Name                    | Recipient                                        | Reply By | Reply By Company | Re |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------|------------------|----|
|  | GE21.059.R1a ESCP<br>Marked Up.pdf | Samuel Duncan, Aaron Gilboy,<br>Nicola Sainsbury |          |                  |    |

| ply At | Comment |
|--------|---------|
|        |         |



| Number             | Work ID | Job / Acc Number | Type | Sub Type                     | Status |
|--------------------|---------|------------------|------|------------------------------|--------|
| RFI-008-4865-00025 | 008     | 4865             | RFI  | Request Design Clarification | Closed |
| RFI-008-4865-00026 | 008     | 4865             | RFI  | Request Design Clarification | Closed |

|  | Sent By       | Sender Company    | Date Sent  | Date Open  | Date Due   | Date Approved | D |
|--|---------------|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|---|
|  | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD | 23/03/2022 | 23/03/2022 | 30/03/2022 | 25/03/2022    |   |
|  | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD | 5/04/2022  | 5/04/2022  | 6/04/2022  | 8/04/2022     |   |

| ate Closed | First Response Date | Last Response Date | Initial Response Time (Days) | Number of Days allowed for Close Out | Number of Days Past Due Date | Total Time to Close |
|------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| 25/03/2022 | 25/03/2022          | 25/03/2022         | 0                            | 7                                    | -5                           | 2                   |
| 8/04/2022  | 8/04/2022           | 8/04/2022          | 0                            | 1                                    | 2                            | 3                   |

|   | Lot Number | Discipline       | Area | Subject                                                 |
|---|------------|------------------|------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 |            | Construction     |      | Sewer and Stormwater Model<br>Drawing FSL Discrepancies |
| 3 |            | PUP and Services |      | Future Roads Gas Alignment                              |

|    | Message                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Document Name |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| vs | <p>Hi Nicola,</p> <p>As discussed on site, we have identified a discrepancy in relation to the earthworks vs structure FSL heights. Attached is a markup where we have provided the drawing FSL vs the model FSL.</p> <p>We have constructed all sewer and stormwater structures with exception to stormwater structures 7-1 and 8-1 (and 6-1 currently under review) to the drawings which has resulted in Sewer Structure 8-1, 2-1, 1-1 and Stormwater Structures 9-2, 8-2 being constructed higher than the earthworks model.</p> <p>I have attached some potential solutions to each of these for consideration. Could you please provide direction in how to proceed for each of these structures?</p> <p>Any questions, please let me know.</p> |               |
|    | <p>Hi Nicola,</p> <p>We are proposing to install the gas line in a similar alignment to the other services across the future road (refer attached markup).</p> <p>Could you please advise if there any issues with this?</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |               |

|  | Attachment Name                                                             | Recipient                       | Reply By      | Reply By Company  | Re |
|--|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|----|
|  | Model vs Drawing Structures.xlsx, 4865-SU-SV-002A Services Control Plan.pdf | Samuel Duncan, Nicola Sainsbury | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD |    |
|  | E32067716-04_Markup.pdf                                                     | Samuel Duncan, Nicola Sainsbury | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD |    |

| ply At     | Comment                                                                                                                                                                        |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 25/03/2022 | <p data-bbox="304 297 740 421">&lt;p&gt;Response attached. RFI can be closed.&amp;nbsp;&lt;/p&gt;&lt;p&gt;Items not addressed to be reviewed and agreed on site.&lt;/p&gt;</p> |
| 8/04/2022  | <p data-bbox="304 1115 740 1171">Response attached .RFI can be closed.</p>                                                                                                     |



| Number             | Work ID | Job / Acc Number | Type | Sub Type               | Status |
|--------------------|---------|------------------|------|------------------------|--------|
| RFI-008-4865-00027 | 008     | 4865             | RFI  | Proposed Design Change | Send   |

|  | Sent By       | Sender Company    | Date Sent  | Date Open  | Date Due   | Date Approved | D |
|--|---------------|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|---|
|  | Matthew Keast | BMD URBAN PTY LTD | 13/04/2022 | 13/04/2022 | 20/04/2022 |               |   |

| Date Closed | First Response Date | Last Response Date | Initial Response Time (Days) | Number of Days allowed for Close Out | Number of Days Past Due Date | Total Time to Close |
|-------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
|             |                     |                    | 0                            | 7                                    | -7                           |                     |

| Lot Number | Discipline       | Area | Subject                 |
|------------|------------------|------|-------------------------|
|            | PUP and Services |      | Controller Box Location |

|  | Message                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Document Name |
|--|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
|  | <p>Hi Sam,</p> <p>Our contractor for the electrical and traffic signalling works has advised us that the current proposed location for the controller box is not suitable due to a clash with the distribution conduits that run across Australia Avenue and the sewer manhole restricting it from being pushed away from the distribution conduits. Could you please advised if there are issues with the proposed location for this. (Markup is attached).</p> <p>I note the slab required for the controller box is 2940mm x 2240mm.</p> |               |

|  | Attachment Name            | Recipient                       | Reply By | Reply By Company | Re |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|------------------|----|
|  | 510240-CI-305-D_Markup.pdf | Samuel Duncan, Nicola Sainsbury |          |                  |    |

| ply At | Comment |
|--------|---------|
|        |         |

